## FEDERAL SECURITY AGENCY

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

# NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG, AND COSMETIC ACT

[Given pursuant to section 705 of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act]

501-700

FOODS

The cases reported herein were instituted in the United States district courts by the United States attorneys, acting upon reports submitted by direction of the Secretary of Agriculture.

WAYNE COY, Acting Administrator, Federal Security Agency.

Washington, D. C., October 3, 1940.

#### CONTENTS

	Page		Page
Beverages and beverage materials	129	Fruits and vegetables	. 156
Fruit juices	129	Fresh fruits and vegetables	156
Coffee and tea		Canned fruits and vegetables	
Whisky	131	Tomatoes and tomato products	
Cereal products	131	Other fruit and vegetable products	
Flour	131	Dried fruits	. 173
Corn meal.	133	Meat and meat products	174
Breakfast cereal		Horse meat	174
Bakery products		Poultry	. 174
Macaroni products	135	Nuts and nut products	
Feed	135	Peanut butter	. 177
Dairy products		Olive oil	. 179
Butter		Saccharine products	180
Cream		Candy	. 180 . 183
Eggs		Molasses Flavors	
Fisheries products		Spice	185
Shrimp	154	Index	185

## BEVERAGES AND BEVERAGE MATERIALS

### FRUIT JUICES

501. Misbranding of grapefruit juice. U. S. v. 59 Cases of Grapefruit Juice. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1652. Sample No. 86856-D.)

The bottle containers of this product were found to be short of the declared volume.

On March 16, 1940, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts filed a libel against 59 cases, each containing 24 bottles, of grapefruit juice at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about April 11, 1939, by the McAllen Canning Co. from McAllen, Tex.; and charging that it was misbranded. It was labeled in part: "Sunny South Brand Unsweetened Grapefruit Juice."

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Contents 13¼ fl. oz.," borne on the label, was false and misleading since it was incorrect. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that it was in package form and did not bear an accurate statement of the quantity of contents.

On April 29, 1940, no claimant having appeared, a decree of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.